

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/761,304	SHIOMI, YASUHIKO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher L. Lavin. (3) _____.

(2) Mike Marcus (Reg. 31,727). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/12/105

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



BRIAN WERNER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A 101 issue was discovered upon a review of the claims. In claim 22 "a memory medium" could be interpreted as many different possible items including a piece of paper. Thus the claim was non-statutory. Examiner suggested that the claim be amended to read "A computer readable medium" to correct the 101 problem. The applicant's representative agreed to the change. .